Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio for tracking inflammation and recurrent pericarditis: post hoc assessment of a phase 3 trial, RHAPSODY

Paul Cremer,¹ Alistair Wheeler,² Liangxing Zou,³ Fang Fang,³ Bryan Abadie,¹ Joshua Saef,¹ Massimo Imazio,⁴ Allan Klein,¹ John F. Paolini³

¹Center for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Pericardial Diseases, Section of Cardiovascular, Bermuda; ³Kiniksa Pharmaceuticals Corp., Lexington, MA; ⁴Cardiology, Cardiothoracic Department, University Hospital "Santa Maria della Misericordia", ASUFC, Piazzale Santa Maria della Misericordia 15, 33100 Udine, Italy

BACKGROUND

Recurrent Pericarditis (RP)

Chronic, debilitating autoinflammatory disease often requiring months to years of treatment¹⁻³

Role of IL-1

Interleukin 1 (IL-1) has been implicated as a key mediator of recurrent pericarditis⁴⁻⁸





Rilonacept

- Once-weekly IL-1 α and IL-1 β cytokine trap
- Phase 3 clinical trial RHAPSODY (NCT03737110) demonstrated efficacy and safety of rilonacept in patients with RP⁹
- RHAPSODY data helped support FDA approval of the first therapy for RP¹⁰

Measures of RP

- Measurement of inflammation in patients with RP is essential for disease monitoring
- C-reactive protein (CRP), a standard acute phase reactant for monitoring inflammation in rheumatic diseases, is elevated during acute pericarditis episodes and normalizes with treatment and episode resolution
- However, patients with RP often require months to years of therapy, indicating persistence of underlying auto-inflammation which is not reflected in the normalized CRP while on treatment A similar "flooring effect" is reported with CRP in patients with rheumatoid arthritis¹¹⁻¹²

Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio

- Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (NLR) has prognostic value in some inflammatory diseases¹²⁻¹³ NLR, a measure of immune system equilibrium, is an indicator of poor prognosis in myocardial
- infarction, coronary artery disease, atherosclerosis and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease¹⁴ While no threshold for NLR elevation has been established in patients with RP, an NLR of 1 to 3 is
- generally considered normal, and a recent study found that normal NLR in adult, non-geriatric, healthy patients is between 0.78 and 3.53¹⁵
- Although CRP is used fairly widely, it isn't readily available in all locations and circumstances; we aimed to test the utility of neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio as an indicator of inflammation in RP, considering the broad availability of cell counts with routine blood draws.

RHAPSODY^{9,16}: Global, Double-blind, Placebo-controlled, Randomized Withdrawal Phase 3 Study

Inclusion Criteria:

- Presenting with at least 2nd pericarditis recurrence; pain NRS ≥4, CRP≥1 mg/dL
- NSAIDs/Colchicine/Corticosteroids in any
- combination
- Multiple etiologies

Definition of Clinical Response

- Weekly average of daily pericarditis pain of ≤2.0
- CRP level ≤0.5 mg/dL
- On monotherapy study drug without a recurrence

Primary Efficacy and Key Secondary Endpoints

- Time to first pericarditis recurrence
- Percentage of patients with persistent clinical response

Post Hoc Analysis

- NLR change from Run-In (RI) Baseline (BL) to wk 12 after rilonacept initiation
- Time to pericarditis recurrence in patients randomized to placebo with baseline neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (NLR) of ≤4 versus >4

	ng Dose Random mg SC 1:1	The second	-	End of Stud (EOS)
Screening Period	Run-In Period ^a (12-week)	Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Randomized-Withdrawal (RW) Period (Event Driven – n=22)	Long-Term Extension (up to 24 mont	· · · ·
	Blinded Rilonacept	Blinded Rilonacept 160 mg SC weekly	Open-Label Rilonacept	
	160 mg SC weekly	Blinded Placebo SC weekly	160 mg SC wee	
			1	
	Stabilization and tapering of background pericarditis	Clinical responders randomized 1:1 to monotherapy rilonacept or placebo		

^aThe duration of the run-in period was concealed from patients so that they were blinded to the timing of randomization



To explore NLR for monitoring RP by examining its association with inflammatory state, response to IL-1 antagonism, and pericarditis recurrence in a controlled study population.

RESULTS

No strong association between NLR and CRP during acute RP episodes (Baseline)

- In the 86 patients enrolled in the study,
- Mean CRP at qualifying: 6.2 mg/dL; 100% (86/86) had elevated CRP (≥0.5 mg/dL)
- Mean CRP at baseline: 3.7 mg/dL; 80% (68/85) had elevated CRP (≥0.5 mg/dL)
- At baseline, NLR was not strongly associated with CRP
- At baseline, 36% (27/74) of patients had NLR>4

FIGURE 1. SCATTER PLOT OF BASELINE C-REACTIVE PROTEIN VERSUS **NLR IN ALL PATIENTS***



*Of 86 patients enrolled, 76 had NLR at baseline and 74 had both NLR and CRP on the same day at baseline

Corticosteroid use did not impact NLR in this group of patients



NLR decreased after rilonacept treatment during the run-in period

Rilonacept initiation resulted in decrease in NLR by week 6, the first timepoint collected after baseline

FIGURE 3. NLR CHANGE FROM BASELINE IN INDIVIDUAL PATIENTS WITH **BASELINE NLR>4 DURING TREATMENT WITH RILONACEPT DURING THE RI** PERIOD



period

Patients with NLR >4 at baseline had shorter time to recurrence after randomization to placebo as well as more recurrences

shown)

Num recu Media recu

*Placebo patients with NLR at Run-in Baseline. Of 86 patients enrolled, 76 had NLR at baseline, and 74 had both NLR and CRP on the same day at baseline. Of these, 52 were randomized (26 to rilonacept and 26 to placebo)

From New England Journal of Medicine, Klein and Imazio et al, Phase 3 Trial of Interleukin-1 Trap Rilonacept in Recurrent Pericarditis, 384, 31-41. Copyright 2021 Massachusetts Medical Society. Reprinted with permission.

Treatment with rilonacept resulted in normalization* of NLR to ≤4 during the run-in

Of the patients with NLR >4 at baseline, 90.3% normalized* to ≤4 by week 6 (28 of 31≠ patients) Of patients with NLR >4 at baseline, 100% normalized* to \leq 4 by week 12 (30 of 30 \pm patients) *Normalized defined as NLR≤4

⁺In order to be considered for each analysis, patients were required to have NLR data at baseline and at the specific final timepoint, i.e., week 6 or week 12.

FIGURE 4. FREQUENCY OF PATIENT NLR LEVEL AT RI BASELINE, WEEK 6, AND WEEK 12



The trend of more recurrences and shorter time to recurrence in those with baseline NLR>4 suggests that higher NLR could be indicative of a higher degree of inflammation at baseline Event rate and time to event was not associated with NLR level reached by week 12 of treatment (data not

TABLE 1. RISK OF RECURRENCE AND TIME TO RECURRENCE IN PATIENTS WITH **BASELINE NLR≤4 AND BASELINE NLR>4.**

Outcomes	BL NLR≤4 n=14*	BL NLR>4 n=12*	Statistical Analyses	
nber (%) of patients with	10 (71.4)	11 (91.7)	HR: 0.56	
urrence				
lian (95% Cl) time-to-	7.9 (3.7, 32.1)	5.2 (2.1, 15.0)	95% CI: 0.22, 1.39	
urrence (weeks)			p<0.1983	

Mean (SE) Numerical Rating Scale Scores for Pain and C-Reactive Protein Levels over the 12-Week Run-In Period.



Rilonacept initiation resulted in rapid normalization of CRP⁹ Median (95% CI) time to CRP normalization was 7 (5, 8) days⁹











CONCLUSIONS

In this post hoc analysis of RHAPSODY:

• A significant number of patients had elevated NLR at baseline (36% with NLR>4), although there was no association of magnitude of NLR at baseline with CRP

• Treatment with rilonacept resulted in reduction of NLR consistent with treatment response (NRS and CRP) during the run-in phase; NLR had normalized in 90.3% of patients by week 6 (the earliest timepoint available after baseline)

• Patients with high NLR at baseline trended towards poorer outcomes after randomization to placebo when compared to those with lower NLR at baseline, including

- Trend of increased risk of recurrence (91.7% with NLR >4 vs 71.4% with NLR≤4)
- Trend of shorter time to recurrence (5.2 weeks with NLR >4 vs 7.9 weeks with NLR≤4)

• While Acute Phase Reactants like CRP remain the most rapid and sensitive measure of inflammation and treatment response, NLR may be a readily accessible supplementary method to monitor disease activity in patients with recurrent pericarditis. Further validation is needed.

LIMITATIONS

Patient subgroups are small in this post hoc analysis

NLR was more widely available at the baseline timepoint than at the time of qualifying episode. NLR at the baseline visit is likely to differ from that recorded for the qualifying pericarditis episode; to allow for the completion of screening procedures, the investigator was permitted to treat each patient with standard-of-care medications temporarily during the interval between presentation with the qualifying episode and the baseline visit or trial enrollment.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS AND DISCLOSURES

This study was sponsored by Kiniksa Pharmaceuticals.

Paul Cremer reports grants and personal fees from Kiniksa Pharmaceuticals; grants from Novartis Pharmaceuticals; and personal fees from Sobi Pharmaceuticals Alistair Wheeler reports personal fees from Kiniksa Pharmaceuticals during the conduct of the study and personal fees from Kiniksa Pharmaceuticals.

- Liangxing Zou reports personal fees and other from Kiniksa Pharmaceuticals.
- Fang Fang reports personal fees and other from Kiniksa Pharmaceuticals.

and consulting fees from SOBI Pharmaceuticals. Allan L. Klein reports grants and other from Kiniksa Pharmaceuticals during the conduct of the study and other fees from Cardiol Therapeutics, Sobi Pharmaceuticals, and Pfizer Pharmaceuticals.

lassimo Imazio reports other fees from Kiniksa Pharmaceuticals and SOBI.

ohn F. Paolini reports personal fees and other from Kiniksa Pharmaceuticals and is a patent inventor on pending patent applications licensed to Kiniksa Pharmaceuticals covering methods of using rilonacept for treating recurrent pericarditis Bryan Abadie and Joshua Saef have nothing to disclose.

REFERENCES

- Adler et al. EHJ 2015; 36(42): 2921-2964.
- Soler-Soler et al. Heart 2004: 90(11): 1364-1368. Imazio et al. Lancet 2014; 383(9936):2232-2237
- Brucato et al. JAMA 2016; 316(18):1906-1912.
- Brucato et al. IEM 2018; 13(4): 475-489.
- Dinarello et al. NRDD 2012; 11(8): 633-652 Chiabrando et al. JACC 2020; 75(1): 76-92.
- Klein et al. JACC 2019; 73(9).
- Klein and Imazio et al. N Engl J Med 2021; 384:31-41. Arcalyst [package insert]. Kiniksa Pharmaceuticals (UK), Ltd.; 2021.
- 11. Chandrashekara et al. Int J Rheum Dis 2012; 15(3): 239-48.
- Chandrashekara et al. Int J Rheum Dis 2017; 20(10):1457-1467.
- 13. Ishizuka et al. Int Surg 2012; 97(4): 299-304.
- 14. Yılmaz et al. Acta Cardiologica 2021; 1-9.
- 15. Forget et al. BMC Res Notes 2017; 10: 12.
- 16. Klein and Imazio et al. AHJ 2020; 228: 81-90.

